	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/670,234	KOMURO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dean O. Takaoka	2817
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to Applicant's RCE/IDS dated August 12, 2005.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1 and 3-8</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 19 April 2005 are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient. 		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 8/12/05 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. Examiner's Amendo	te

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 and 3 – 8 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to applicant's RCE and IDS dated August 12, 2005, Yamada et al. shows a piezoelectric thin film resonator but teaches where the thickness of each of the lower electrode (15) and upper electrode (17) is equal to 50 to 200nm (0153), thus not teaching or suggesting any difference in electrode thickness but rather suggesting equal thicknesses as is well-known in the art. Yamada et al. further teaches the lower and upper electrodes comprising Mo or an Mo alloy where it is well-known in the art to use the same composition material for both electrodes but does not teach or suggest different compositions of the lower and upper electrodes, nor would it be obvious to combine the prior art of record thus the claims are allowable.

Su et al. teaches a similar a piezoelectric thin film resonator and as Yamada et al. above, similarly teaches where the thickness of each of the lower electrode and upper electrode is equal to 0.1um, where no distinction is given to any difference between electrode thickness, but rather suggesting equal thicknesses as is well-known in the art as taught by Yamada et al. above. Su teaches the lower and upper electrodes comprising AI or AI/Cu. While Su shows different modeling results based on different electrode materials (figs. 4-9), Su does not teach or suggest using different materials in the same resonator but rather showing the resonator using same material for the lower and upper electrodes, as is well-known in the art, where different electrode materials

Art Unit: 2817

having different properties, nor would it be obvious to combine the prior art of record thus the claims are allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean O. Takaoka whose telephone number is (571) 272-1772. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30a - 5:00p Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dot

August 19, 2005